

Political hot potatoes wherever you look

Markets have recently been gripped by election fever. To identify potential opportunities, it's useful to examine the one major election this year that largely flew under investors' radar.

In a nutshell

- Elections have turned into a key market theme for 2024. This partly reflects anxieties of recent years.
- Over the medium term, we expect developed market equity markets to return to the normality that prevailed prior to 2016.
- We expect stock pricing to go back to being mostly based on firm-specific information, rather than political considerations.



Björn Jesch
Global Chief Investment Officer

Long before the current US election campaign got properly going, we highlighted elections as a key market theme for 2024. We argued that this was likely to prove an unusually political year, in which markets would pay uncommonly close attention to electoral events. In fact, that was one of the key assumptions underpinning our strategic outlook at the end of 2023. Having recently updated these assumptions, now seems a good time to take stock of what we have learned so far.

As keen observers pointed out early on, the current year is atypical, in terms of the number of people voting.¹ In fact, over four billion people, or more than half the world's population, have been or will be eligible to vote in a major election.² Depending on precise definitions, that is more than ever before in any given year.³ Both this observation, „the biggest election year ever“ and the seemingly pedantic debate on definitions highlight important points.

From a market perspective, it needs to be stressed that the current year has definitely not been a standout in terms of

voters casting ballots that count. Arguably, the share of the world's population casting votes in free and fair elections peaked at some point between the early 1990s and the Great Financial crisis.⁴ For example, the last time elections to the European Parliament (EP) coincided with presidential elections in both the U.S. and the Russian Federation was 2004.⁵

So, what makes 2024 so special that we identified elections as a key issue as early as November 2023? It's only partly because U.S. presidential elections are on the list. These always tend to be big news events that everyone has opinions on. But to be frank, market interest prior to 2016 tended to quite limited and concentrated during the final stretch of the campaign and the immediate aftermath. 2016 was the year of both the UK's Brexit referendum and Donald Trump's surprising, and somewhat accidental, Electoral College victory.⁶ Ever since 2016, investor interest has generally been quite high in electoral events, especially in mature democracies.

¹ A useful overview is available at the constantly updated online „Elections tracker 2024: every vote and why it matters“, The Guardian, previewing looming elections and snap-shot analyses of results.

² The Economist, Nov. 13, 2023, „Graphic detail: 2024 is the biggest election year in history - But there is more to democracy than voting“

³ Specifically, to arrive at this result, one needs to one exclude local or municipal elections, but include such events as elections to the Euro-pean Parliament (EP) as if they were a general election in each of the European Union's 27 member states, as well as elections that are nei-ther free nor fair in countries with authoritarian or semi-authoritarian governments.

⁴ Of course, hybrid, semi-authoritarian regimes, which combine elements of democracy and authoritarianism, make such calculations tricky, not least as electoral events do not necessarily need to be free and fair to serve as focal points for regime change. See, for example, Hale, H. (2015) Patronal Politics: Eurasian Regime Dynamics in Comparative Perspective. (Problems of International Politics). New York: Cambridge University Press.

⁵ Even back then, Vladimir Putin was hardly the „flawless paragon of Democracy“ some European policymakers of that era, such as Germany's then chancellor Gerhard Schröder, thought they could trust and do business with; but voting itself throughout many of Russia's eleven time zones was still largely free (though hardly fair, given Putin's already tightening grip on mass media, especially following the October 2003 arrest of Mikhail Khodorkovsky and growing pressures on businesses to stop funding opposition candidates in the run-up to the December 2003 Duma election).

⁶ On the latter, see our previous publications, such as DWS, Feb. 16, 2024, „Tales of the unexpected: Donald Trump's electoral performance in both 2016 and 2020 suggests that returning to the White House is likely to prove an uphill struggle“.

To better understand the sustained, historically unusual level of market attention towards electoral politics, and to evaluate how long it might persist, one effective approach is to examine an electoral event that garnered much less excitement from both pundits and market analysts—the U.K. general election of July 2024. Overall, this was a throwback to a pre-2016 era in British politics, with the 1997 Labour landslide being a particularly apt comparison. An unpopular, long-serving Conservative government was widely predicted to lose, and it did. The UK's first-past-the-post electoral system, which accentuates swings in the House of Commons, gave the new government an oversized majority, even though various polls during both campaigns suggested that voters' expectations of the new team were somewhat low. Labour's landslide followed years of moderation after being cast into the political wilderness. But despite having plenty of time to prepare, the new ministry (as premierships are known in the UK) was soon embroiled in scandals, facing accusations of sleaze in the government.⁷

And markets? Well, long before the voting actually started, equity investors had signaled that they quite liked the prospects of more boring, predictable politics. During and after the turmoil of the Brexit years, UK equities became increasingly undervalued compared to their peers. While there is healthy debate regarding the extent to which the underperformance of the London stock market is due to political factors, it is also influenced by structural factors, such as the sector composition of the main London indices.⁸ In any case, market reactions were slow and moderate. Instead, attention of politically minded market pundits quickly turned across the Channel to France. President Emmanuel Macron's unexpected call for legislative elections came on the heels of steep losses for his allies in elections to the European Parliament.⁹ News of the snap election for the National Assembly (NA), the dominant chamber of parliament, triggered a significant sell-off in stocks and bonds. This reaction was especially pronounced between the low-turnout first round and the more decisive second round.¹⁰ Subsequently, equity investors quickly realized that not much had changed, while bond investors remained concerned about the longer-term outlook for French public finances.¹¹

All of which is quite typical for how markets "think" about politics. An analogy would be artificial intelligence (AI) approaches that also rely on pattern recognition. Give market participants a representative sample of patterns for a given set of problems that are essential enough to make money, and they are sometimes remarkably good at predictive tasks. Over time, markets and machine learning techniques are becoming increasingly reliable at predicting future events from past experience, provided that the future is sufficiently similar to the recent past. But deviate from these conditions and conventional market wisdom can be as inaccurate or nonsensical as the AI hallucinations of even a state-of-the-art large language model (LLM). This might happen, for example, because there simply is no opportunity or motivation to learn from prediction errors.

The key is having both adequate data and proper feedback loops for market participants to recognize and assess patterns. As Friedrich August von Hayek observed, markets of various sorts can be a "marvel". How else to describe their uncanny collective ability to absorb new information and often tacit knowledge spread across millions of individuals and aggregate it into price signals to guide decision making.¹² There is little reason to doubt on either a priori or empirical grounds that this market magic can work on political forecasts just as it does with company events. From 1884 to 1940, for example, U.S. political betting markets were deep and liquid; and even in the absence of reliable opinion polling, they did a remarkable job in 14 out of 15 presidential elections, despite the oddities of the U.S. electoral college voting system.¹³ The same applies to a similar prediction problem from around the same time in another emerging market: how well stock markets, in particular, priced the risk of political violence in Tsarist Russia.¹⁴

Nowadays, legal restrictions mean that especially in the U.S. political betting markets tend to be neither deep, nor liquid.¹⁵ As in mainstream financial markets, betting markets usually have plenty of other topics for their participants to consider.

⁷ On scandals during Tony Blair's First Ministry, see BBC, Dec. 30, 2021, "Sleaze claims worried Blair aides, files show"; on the troubles so far of Sir Keir Starmer's new government, see, e.g. The Economist, Oct. 7, 2024, "The Sue Gray saga casts doubt on Keir Starmer's mana-gerial chops: Faith in the prime minister's technocratic credentials has been tested."

⁸ See, for example, Financial Times, Jul. 5, "Investors welcome more 'boring' UK after landslide win: Market insouciance to electoral result is the greatest form of flattery for Starmer" and Financial Times, 24, 2024, "Investors warm to UK equities in 'turning of tide' for unloved market: Fund managers including BlackRock, Allianz and Ruffer are betting political stability will boost London market."

⁹ DWS, Jun 21, 2024, "French recipes for a change: In markets as in politics, perceptions often matter more than electoral realities. That helps explain why markets got spooked by snap elections in France."

¹⁰ See, for example, Financial Times, Jul. 25, "A tale of three very different elections: We've got a rare opportunity to compare the UK, US and French systems. What have we learnt?". Also see: Financial Times, Jul. 23, "France's forgotten chamber makes its political comeback: Long eclipsed by the Elysée, the assembly that spawned the terms 'left' and 'right' now holds the key to policymaking."

¹¹ See, for example, The Economist, Oct. 6, "Keeping up with the neighbours: How bond investors soured on France. They now regard the euro zone's second-largest economy as riskier than Spain."

¹² Hayek, Friedrich (1945), "The Use of Knowledge in Society", American Economic Review. XXXV, No. 4. pp. 519-30

¹³ The Economist, June 11, 2024, "Betting markets are useful when politics is chaotic: Why, then, are they largely outlawed in America?"

¹⁴ Hartwell, Christopher, "Political violence and financial markets in Tsarist Russia." Financial History Review. 2023;30(2):231-275. doi:10.1017/S0968565023000057

¹⁵ As Nate Silver, the veteran U.S. election forecaster and statistician argues in his most recent book, "[U.S.] presidential elections are unique [among betting opportunities] in that there are a lot fewer people working as professional handicappers than there are in sports. Conversely, there are a lot of people who have strong opinions about politics. So the ratio of public money to smart money is very high", potentially creating profitable opportunities for skillful bettors able to actually to place bets. See, Nate Silver (2024), "On the Edge: The Art of Risking Everything", Penguin Press, p. 182 (italics in the original). Note, however, that this might be changing, due to recent court rulings and the landscape might look very different for 2028. For now, though, we would not recommend reading too much into changing betting odds. On the most recent legal developments, see: Financial Times, Oct. 15, "US election bets surge after court lifts ban: Traders on prediction markets narrowly favour a Trump victory over Harris next month"

Moreover, the infrequency of elections and the inherent unpredictability of their outcomes pose significant challenges to developing accurate forecasting skills. Mastery in this area requires not only extensive experience across numerous election cycles but also a deep understanding of the unique factors influencing each specific electoral event. For example, election polling in India is notoriously unreliable, due to the heterogeneity of India's mammoth electorate, political biases of the news outlets paying to conduct polls, as well as logistical problems and legal restrictions making accurate polling almost impossible. In France, turnout is known at times to go up dramatically between the first round and the second round, resulting in very different electorates.

Despite this tendency, market commentators routinely highlight early polling data to affirm existing investor beliefs, only to be taken aback by unexpected results on election day. This year, the elections in France and India proved no exception, offering ample cases of this phenomenon, similar to experiences seen in countries like South Africa. These instances illustrate the challenges in accurately interpreting market implications, even after election results are announced but while coalition formations are still pending.¹⁶

Before 2016, investors were accustomed to predictable market patterns, guided by their experiences prior to the unforeseen market shifts of that year. During this period, markets often maintained a clear distinction between politics in emerging and developed markets. A seminal paper from the late 1990s

highlighted systematic differences in how political events affected asset prices in these two different regimes.¹⁷ To simplify somewhat, mature democracies tend to be in fairly wealthy countries with a range of other institutions such as independent courts, functioning legal systems, a vibrant press and plenty of private enterprises. Whatever policies a new government decides to implement might well get overturned by the next one, but only within the framework of existing, established institutions and regulations. Under such circumstances, it makes sense for investors to price stocks mostly based on firm-specific information. In such countries, movements in individual stock prices tend to be less correlated with the overall market, and tend to decrease, as markets mature further (as was the case in the U.S. throughout much of the 20th century). By contrast, in countries where laws protecting investors' property rights and legal system are weak, and governments are relatively more prone to corruption and political rent-seeking, a lot more is at stake depending on who wins – especially if a slide into authoritarianism or a hybrid regime cannot be ruled out.

In summary, recent years have witnessed the U.K. and several other established democracies behaving more like emerging markets. With politics becoming more boring once again, we would expect to see a reversion to pre-2016 norms, in which it may pay for investors to once again pay less attention to politics. The 2024 U.S. presidential elections promise to be another key test case to this thesis, of course. We will leave that subject to another day.

Glossary

Artificial intelligence is the theory and development of computer systems able to perform tasks normally requiring human intelligence.

Brexit is a combination of the words „Britain“ and „Exit“ and describes the exit of the United Kingdom of the European Union.

The **Electoral College** is the body which elects the President and the Vice President of the United States. It is composed of

electors from each state equal to that state's representation in Congress.

The **European Parliament** is one of the legislative bodies of the European Union and one of its seven institutions.

The **Labour Party** is a center-left political party and one of the three biggest parties in the United Kingdom.

¹⁶ On South Africa and how the mood has changed in recent months, see The Economist, Oct. 7, 2024, "Off to a good start South Africa's coalition government has improved the vibes. Now for the hard part."

¹⁷ Randall Morck, Bernard Yeung, and Wayne Yu (2000) "The Information Content of Stock Markets: Why Do Emerging Markets Have So Little Firm-Specific Risk?", in Journal of Financial Economics, Volume 58, Issues 1-2, pp. 215-260

Important information

The brand DWS represents DWS Group GmbH & Co. KGaA and any of its subsidiaries, such as DWS Distributors, Inc., which offers investment products, or DWS Investment Management Americas Inc. and RREEF America L.L.C., which offer advisory services.

This document has been prepared without consideration of the investment needs, objectives or financial circumstances of any investor. Before making an investment decision, investors need to consider, with or without the assistance of an investment adviser, whether the investments and strategies described or provided by DWS, are appropriate, in light of their particular investment needs, objectives and financial circumstances. Furthermore, this document is for information/discussion purposes only and does not and is not intended to constitute an offer, recommendation or solicitation to conclude a transaction or the basis for any contract to purchase or sell any security, or other instrument, or for DWS to enter into or arrange any type of transaction as a consequence of any information contained herein and should not be treated as giving investment advice. DWS, including its subsidiaries and affiliates, does not provide legal, tax or accounting advice. This communication was prepared solely in connection with the promotion or marketing, to the extent permitted by applicable law, of the transaction or matter addressed herein, and was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be relied upon, by any taxpayer for the purposes of avoiding any U.S. federal tax penalties. The recipient of this communication should seek advice from an independent tax advisor regarding any tax matters addressed herein based on its particular circumstances. Investments with DWS are not guaranteed, unless specified. Although information in this document has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, we do not guarantee its accuracy, completeness or fairness, and it should not be relied upon as such. All opinions and estimates herein, including forecast returns, reflect our judgment on the date of this report, are subject to change without notice and involve a number of assumptions which may not prove valid.

Investments are subject to various risks, including market fluctuations, regulatory change, counterparty risk, possible delays in repayment and loss of income and principal invested. The value of investments can fall as well as rise and you may not recover the amount originally invested at any point in time. Furthermore, substantial fluctuations of the value of the investment are possible even over short periods of time. Further, investment in international markets can be affected by a host of factors, including political or social conditions, diplomatic relations, limitations or removal of funds or assets or imposition of (or change in) exchange control or tax regulations in such markets. Additionally, investments denominated in an alternative currency will be subject to currency risk, changes in exchange rates which may have an adverse effect on the value, price or income of the investment. This document does not identify all the risks (direct and indirect) or other considerations which might be material to you when entering into a transaction. The terms of an investment may be exclusively subject to the detailed provisions, including risk considerations, contained in the Offering Documents. When making an investment decision, you should rely on the final documentation relating to the investment and not the summary contained in this document.

This publication contains forward looking statements. Forward looking statements include, but are not limited to assumptions, estimates, projections, opinions, models and hypothetical performance analysis. The forward looking statements expressed constitute the author's judgment as of the date of this material. Forward looking statements involve significant elements of subjective judgments and analyses and changes thereto and/or consideration of different or additional factors could have a material impact on the results indicated. Therefore, actual results may vary, perhaps materially, from the results contained herein. No representation or warranty is made by DWS as to the reasonableness or completeness of such forward looking statements or to any other financial information contained herein. We assume no responsibility to advise the recipients of this document with regard to changes in our views.

No assurance can be given that any investment described herein would yield favorable investment results or that the investment objectives will be achieved. Any securities or financial instruments presented herein are not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") unless specifically noted, and are not guaranteed by or obligations of DWS or its affiliates. We or our affiliates or persons associated with us may act upon or use material in this report prior to publication. DB may engage in transactions in a manner inconsistent with the views discussed herein. Opinions expressed herein may differ from the opinions expressed by departments or other divisions or affiliates of DWS. This document may not be reproduced or circulated without our written authority. The manner of circulation and distribution of this document may be restricted by law or regulation in certain countries. This document is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or other jurisdiction, including the United States, where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would subject DWS to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction not currently met within such jurisdiction. Persons into whose possession this document may come are required to inform themselves of, and to observe, such restrictions.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results; nothing contained herein shall constitute any representation or warranty as to future performance. Further information is available upon investor's request. All third party data (such as MSCI, S&P & Bloomberg) are copyright-ed by and proprietary to the provider.

For Investors in Canada: No securities commission or similar authority in Canada has reviewed or in any way passed upon this document or the merits of the securities described herein and any representation to the contrary is an offence. This document is intended for discussion purposes only and does not create any legally binding obligations on the part of DWS Group. Without limitation, this document does not constitute an offer, an invitation to offer or a recommendation to enter into any transaction. When making an investment decision, you should rely solely on the final documentation relating to the transaction you are considering, and not the information contained herein. DWS Group is not acting as your financial adviser or in any other fiduciary capacity with respect to any transaction presented to you. Any transaction(s) or products(s) mentioned herein may not be appropriate for all investors and before entering into any transaction you should take steps to ensure that you fully understand such transaction(s) and have made an independent assessment of the appropriateness of the transaction(s) in the light of your own objectives and circumstances, including the possible risks and benefits of entering into such transaction. You should also consider seeking advice from your own advisers in making this assessment. If you decide to enter into a transaction with DWS Group you do so in reliance on your own judgment. The information contained in this document is based on material we believe to be reliable; however, we do not represent that it is accurate, current, complete, or error free. Assumptions, estimates and opinions contained in this document constitute our judgment as of the date of the document and are subject to change without notice. Any projections are based on a number of assumptions as to market conditions and there can be no guarantee that any projected results will be achieved. Past performance is not a guarantee of future results. The distribution of this document and availability of these products and services in certain jurisdictions may be restricted by law. You may not distribute this document, in whole or in part, without our express written permission.

For investors in Bermuda: This is not an offering of securities or interests in any product. Such securities may be offered or sold in Bermuda only in compliance with the provisions of the Investment Business Act of 2003 of Bermuda which regulates the sale of securities in Bermuda. Additionally, non-Bermudian persons (including companies) may not carry on or engage in any trade or business in Bermuda unless such persons are permitted to do so under applicable Bermuda legislation.

© 2024 DWS Investment GmbH, Mainzer Landstraße 11-17, 60329 Frankfurt am Main, Germany.
All rights reserved.

as of 10/25/24; 103289_1 (10/2024)